

THE TERMS IN LUCAS SEQUENCES DIVISIBLE BY THEIR INDICES

CHRIS SMYTH

ABSTRACT. For Lucas sequences of the first kind $(u_n)_{n \geq 0}$ and second kind $(v_n)_{n \geq 0}$ defined as usual by $u_n = (\alpha^n - \beta^n)/(\alpha - \beta)$, $v_n = \alpha^n + \beta^n$, where α and β are either integers or conjugate quadratic integers, we describe the sets $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : n \text{ divides } u_n\}$ and $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : n \text{ divides } v_n\}$. Building on earlier work, particularly that of Somer, we show that the numbers in these sets can be written as a product of a so-called *basic* number, which can only be 1, 6 or 12, and particular primes, which are described explicitly. Some properties of the set of all primes that arise in this way is also given, for each kind of sequence.

1. INTRODUCTION

Given integers P and Q , let α and β be the roots of the equation

$$x^2 - Px + Q = 0.$$

Then the well-known *Lucas sequence of the first kind* (or *generalised Fibonacci sequence*) $(u_n)_{n \geq 0}$ is given by $u_0 = 0, u_1 = 1$ and $u_{n+2} = Pu_{n+1} - Qu_n$ for $n \geq 0$, or explicitly by Binet's formula

$$u_n = \frac{\alpha^n - \beta^n}{\alpha - \beta}$$

when $\Delta = (\alpha - \beta)^2 = P^2 - 4Q \neq 0$, and $u_n = n\alpha^{n-1}$ when $\Delta = 0$. In this latter case α is an integer, and so n divides u_n for all $n \geq 1$. In Theorem 1 below we describe, for all pairs (P, Q) , the set $S = S(P, Q)$ of all $n \geq 1$ for which n divides u_n .

Corresponding to Theorem 1 we have a similar result (Theorem 13 below) for the *Lucas sequence of the second kind* $(v_n)_{n \geq 0}$, given by $v_0 = 2, v_1 = P$ and $v_{n+2} = Pv_{n+1} - Qv_n$ for $n \geq 0$, or explicitly by the formula

$$v_n = \alpha^n + \beta^n,$$

finding the set $T = T(P, Q)$ of all $n \geq 1$ for which n divides v_n . The results for the set T are given in Section 4.

For $n \in S$, define $\mathcal{P}_{S,n}$ to be the set of primes p such that $np \in S$. We call an element n of S (*first kind*) *basic* if there is no prime p such that n/p is in S . We shall see that, for given P, Q , there are at most two

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 11B39.

Key words and phrases. Lucas sequences, indices .

basic elements of S . It turns out that all elements of S are generated from basic elements using primes from these sets.

Theorem 1. (a) *For $n \in S$, the set $\mathcal{P}_{S,n}$ is the set of primes dividing $u_n\Delta$.*
 (b) *Every element of S can be written in the form $bp_1 \dots p_r$ for some $r \geq 0$, where $b \in S$ is basic and, for $i = 1, \dots, r$, the numbers $bp_1 \dots p_{i-1}$ are also in S , and p_i is in $\mathcal{P}_{S, bp_1 \dots p_{i-1}}$.*
 (c) *The (first kind) basic elements of S are*

- *1 and 6 if $P \equiv 3 \pmod{6}$, $Q \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{6}$;*
- *1 and 12 if $P \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{6}$, $Q \equiv -1 \pmod{6}$;*
- *1 only, otherwise.*

Note that the primes in part (b) need not be distinct.

Somer [19, Theorem 4] has many results in the direction of this theorem. In particular, he already noted the importance of 6 and 12 for this problem. Walsh [22, unpublished] gave an equivalent categorization of $S(1, -1)$ (the Fibonacci numbers case), where 1 and 12 are the basic elements of $S(1, -1)$.

Note that if α and β are integers, then at least one of P, Q is even, so that 1 is the only basic element in this case. In this case, too, it is known (see André-Jeannin [2]) that $S = \{n : n \mid \alpha^n - \beta^n\}$. (His result is stated assuming that $(n, \alpha\beta) = 1$, and his proof given for n square-free). This follows straight from Proposition 12 below.

Now let $\mathcal{P}_S$ be the set of primes p that divide some n in S . It is easy to see that $\mathcal{P}_S = \cup_{n \in S} \mathcal{P}_{S,n}$. It is interesting to compare $\mathcal{P}_{S,n}$ and $\mathcal{P}_{S,np}$ for n and np in S . Write $u_n = u_n(\alpha, \beta)$ to show the dependence of u_n on α and β , and denote $u_n(\alpha^k, \beta^k)$ by $u_n^{(k)}$. Then since

$$(1) \quad u_{kn} = u_k^{(n)} u_n,$$

we have $u_n \mid u_{np}$, so that $\mathcal{P}_{S,n} \subset \mathcal{P}_{S,np}$ by Theorem 1(b). Thus when we multiply $n \in S$ by a succession of primes according to Theorem 1(b) to stay within S , the associated set $\mathcal{P}_{S,n}$ does not lose any primes. Hence we obtain the following consequence of Theorem 1(a).

Corollary 2. *If $n \in S$ and all prime factors of m divide $u_n\Delta$, then $nm \in S$.*

This is a strengthening of the known result (see e.g., [19, Theorem 5(i)]) that if $n \in S$ and m all prime factors of m divide $n\Delta$, then $nm \in S$. In particular ($n = 1$) $\Delta \in S$ and, for $n \in S$, both $u_n = n \cdot (u_n/n) \in S$ and $u_n\Delta \in S$.

In Section 7 we give the conditions on P and Q that make S , $\mathcal{P}_S$, T or $\mathcal{P}_T$ finite. In Section 8 we briefly discuss divisibility properties of the sequences S and T . These properties are useful for generating the sequences efficiently.

It is of interest to estimate $\{n \in S : n \leq x\}$ and $\{n \in T : n \leq x\}$. It is planned to do this in a forthcoming paper of Shparlinski and the

author. For $\mathcal{P}_S$ infinite (and not the set $\mathcal{P}$ of all primes!) it would also of interest to estimate the relative density of $\mathcal{P}_S$ in $\mathcal{P}$. But this seems to be a more difficult problem (as does the corresponding problem for T).

For an interesting survey of many results on Lucas numbers, see Ribenboim [16]. For a more general reference on recurrence sequences see the book [9] by Everest, van der Poorten, Shparlinski, and Ward.

2. PRELIMINARY RESULTS FOR S .

While Theorem 1(b) allows us to multiply $n \in S$ by the primes in $\mathcal{P}_{S,n}$ to stay within S , a vital ingredient in proving Theorem 1(c) is to be able to do the opposite: to divide $n \in S$ by a prime and stay within S . This is provided by the following significant result, due to Somer, generalising special cases due to Jarden [11, Theorem E], Hoggatt and Bergum [10] and Walsh [22] for the Fibonacci sequence (i.e., $P = 1$, $Q = -1$) and André-Jeannin [2] for $\gcd(P, Q) = 1$.

Theorem 3 (Somer [19, Theorem 5(iv)]). *Let $n \in S$, $n > 1$, with $p_{\max}$ its largest prime factor. Then, except in the case that P is odd and n is of the form $2^\ell \cdot 3$ for some $\ell \geq 1$, we have $n/p_{\max} \in S$.*

We produce a variant of this result to cover all but two of the exceptional cases, as follows.

Proposition 4. *If P is odd and $n = 2^\ell \cdot 3 \in S$, where $\ell \geq 3$, then $n/2 \in S$.*

The idea of the proof of Theorem 3 is roughly (i.e., ignoring some details) as follows. Let n have prime factorization $n = \prod_p p^{k_p}$, with $\omega(n)$, the *rank of appearance* of n , being the least integer k such that $n \mid u_k$. Then $n \mid u_n$ is equivalent to $\omega(n) \mid n$. Since $\omega(n) = \text{lcm}_p \omega(p^{k_p})$, and every $\omega(p^{k_p})$ is of the form $p^{k'_p} \ell_p$, where $k'_p < k_p$ and $\ell_p \mid (p^2 - 1)$, it follows that $n \mid u_n$ is equivalent to

$$(2) \quad \text{lcm}_{p|n} (p^{k'_p} \ell_p) \mid n = \prod_{p|n} p^{k_p}.$$

But since for $p > 2$ all prime factors of $p^2 - 1$ are less than p , and $2^2 - 1 = 3$, if equation (2) holds, it will still hold with n replaced by $n/p_{\max}$ when $p_{\max} > 3$ or $p_{\max} = 3$ and (n odd or $2 \mid n$ with $\ell_2 = 1$). When $p_{\max} = 3$ and $2 \mid n$ with $\ell_2 = 3$, (2) will still hold with n replaced by $n/3$ as long as $n/3$ is divisible by 3.

For the proof of Theorem 1, we first need the following, which dates back to Lucas [13, page 295] and Carmichael [6, Lemma II]. It is the special case $n = 1$ of Theorem 1(a).

Lemma 5. *For any prime p , p divides u_p if and only if p divides Δ .*

Proof. Now $u_2 = P$ and $\Delta = P^2 - 4Q \equiv u_2 \pmod{2}$, so the result is true for $p = 2$. The result is trivial for $\Delta = 0$. Now for $\Delta \neq 0$ and $p \geq 3$,

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta^{(p-1)/2} &= \frac{(\alpha - \beta)^p}{(\alpha - \beta)} \\ &= u_p + \sum_{j=1}^{p-1} \binom{p}{j} \alpha^{p-j} (-\beta)^j / (\alpha - \beta) \\ &= u_p + \sum_{j=1}^{(p-1)/2} \binom{p}{j} (-1)^j Q^j u_{p-2j} \\ &\equiv u_p \pmod{p}, \end{aligned}$$

giving the result. $\square$

We have the following.

A prime is called *irregular* if it divides Q but not P . Clearly $p \nmid \Delta$ for p irregular. A prime that is not irregular is called *regular*.

Lemma 6 (Lucas [13, pp. 295–297], Carmichael [6, Theorem XII], Somer [19, Proposition 1(viii)]). *If p is an odd prime with $p \nmid Q$, $p \nmid \Delta$, then $p \mid u_{p-\varepsilon}$, where ε is the Legendre symbol $\left(\frac{\Delta}{p}\right)$. On the other hand, if p is irregular then it does not divide any u_n , $n \geq 1$.*

The following result follows straight for Lemmas 5 and 6.

Corollary 7. *The set $\mathcal{P}_{1st}$ of primes that divide some u_n , $n \geq 1$ consists precisely of the regular primes.*

Lemma 8 (Somer [19, Theorem 5(ii)]). *If $m, n \in S$ then $\text{lcm}(m, n) \in S$.*

Proof. Put $\ell = \text{lcm}(m, n)$. From (1) we have $u_n \mid u_\ell$, $u_m \mid u_\ell$, so $n \mid u_n$, $m \mid u_m$ and hence $\ell \mid u_\ell$. $\square$

Lemma 9. *If P and Q are integers and p is a prime not dividing $\text{gcd}(P, Q)$ then there is an integer $P^* \equiv P \pmod{p}$ such that $\text{gcd}(P^*, Q) = 1$.*

Proof. If $p \nmid P$ then choose k so that $P^* = P + kp$ is a prime greater than Q , while if $p \mid P$ choose k so that $P^* = p(P/p + k)$ is a p times a prime greater than Q . $\square$

Lemma 10. *We have*

- (i) *If P is odd and $2^\ell \mid u_{12}$ then $2^{\ell-1} \mid u_6$;*
- (ii) *If $3 \mid u_{8k}$ then $3 \mid u_{4k}$.*

Proof. Using the notation

$$P^{(k)} = P(\alpha^k, \beta^k) = \alpha^k + \beta^k = v_k, \quad Q^{(k)} = Q(\alpha^k, \beta^k) = Q^k,$$

we have $P^{(2)} = P^2 - 2Q$ and

$$(3) \quad P^{(4)} = (P^2 - 2Q)^2 - 2Q^2 = P^4 - 4P^2Q + 2Q^2.$$

(i) Take P odd. Then

$$P^{(2)} \equiv \begin{cases} 1 & (\text{mod } 4) \text{ if } Q \text{ even} \\ -1 & (\text{mod } 4) \text{ if } Q \text{ odd} \end{cases},$$

and so $P^{(4)} \equiv P^{(2)} \pmod{4}$ and

$$v_6 = P^{(2)}(P^{(4)} - Q^2) \equiv \begin{cases} 1 & (\text{mod } 4) \text{ if } Q \text{ even} \\ 2 & (\text{mod } 4) \text{ if } Q \text{ odd} \end{cases}.$$

Since $u_{12} = u_6 v_6$ by (1), we get the result.

(ii) Since $u_{4k} = u_k^{(4)} u_4$, it is enough to prove that if $3 \mid u_{2k}^{(4)}$ and $3 \nmid u_4$ then $3 \mid u_k^{(4)}$. Now, working modulo 3, $P^{(4)} \equiv P^2(1 - Q) - Q^2$, using (3) and $P^4 \equiv P^2$. Thus

$$\begin{pmatrix} P^{(4)} \\ Q^{(4)} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} & \text{if } P \equiv Q \equiv 0 \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} & \text{if } P \equiv \pm 1, Q \equiv 0 \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} & \text{if } P \equiv \pm 1, Q \equiv -1 \\ \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The result holds in the first case because $u_4 \equiv 0$, and in the second case because $u_n^{(4)} \equiv 1$ for all $n \geq 1$. In the other two cases, $u_n^{(4)} \equiv 0$ precisely when $3 \mid n$, so the result holds also in these cases. □

Proposition 11. *If P is odd and $2^\ell \cdot 3 \in S$, where $\ell \geq 3$, then $2^{\ell-1} \cdot 3 \in S$. In particular, then $12 \in S$.*

Proof. Take P odd. Then $P^{(2)} = P^2 - 2Q$ is also odd, and hence so are all $P^{(2^\ell)} = v_{2^\ell}$ for $\ell \geq 0$. Then for $\ell \geq 3$, using (1) and $u_{2k} = u_k v_k$ we have

$$u_{2^\ell \cdot 3} = u_{12}^{(2^{\ell-2})} u_{2^{\ell-2}} = u_{12}^{(2^{\ell-2})} v_{2^{\ell-3}} v_{2^{\ell-4}} \dots v_2 v_1.$$

So if $2^\ell \mid u_{2^\ell \cdot 3}$ then $2^\ell \mid u_{12}^{(2^{\ell-2})}$ so, by Lemma 10(i), $2^{\ell-1} \mid u_6^{(2^{\ell-2})}$. Hence

$$2^{\ell-1} \mid u_6^{(2^{\ell-2})} u_{2^{\ell-2}} = u_{2^{\ell-1} \cdot 3}.$$

Also, if $3 \mid u_{2^\ell \cdot 3}$ where $\ell \geq 3$ then $3 \mid u_{2^{\ell-1} \cdot 3}$, by Lemma 10(ii). Thus we have proved that if $\ell \geq 3$ and $2^\ell \cdot 3 \in S$ then $2^{\ell-1} \cdot 3 \in S$. Then $12 \in S$ follows. □

Proposition 12. *For any positive integer n and distinct integers a, b ,*

$$n \mid a^n - b^n \implies n \mid \frac{a^n - b^n}{a - b}.$$

Proof. For any prime p , suppose that $p^\ell \parallel a - b$ and $p^r \parallel n$. It is clearly enough to prove that $p^{r+\ell} \mid a^n - b^n$ whenever $\ell > 0$. Put $a = b + \lambda p^\ell$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} a^n - b^n &= \sum_{k=1}^n \binom{n}{k} \lambda^k p^{\ell k} b^{n-k} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{n}{k} \binom{n-1}{k-1} \lambda^k p^{\ell k} b^{n-k} \\ &\equiv 0 \pmod{p^L}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} L &\geq r + \min_{k=1}^n (\ell k - \lfloor \log_p k \rfloor) \\ &\geq r + \ell + \min_{k=1}^n (\ell(k-1) - \log_2 k) \\ &\geq r + \ell + \min_{k=1}^n ((k-1) - \log_2 k) \\ &= r + \ell. \end{aligned}$$

□

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.

To prove part (a), take $n \in S$ and p prime. First note that, from (1), $u_{np} = u_p^{(n)} u_n$. Now suppose that $np \mid u_{np}$. Then either $p \mid u_n$, or, by Lemma 5, we have $p \mid \Delta^{(n)}$, where $\Delta^{(n)} = (\alpha^n - \beta^n)^2 = u_n^2 \Delta$. Hence $p \mid u_n \Delta$.

Conversely, suppose $p \mid u_n \Delta$. Then $p \mid \Delta^{(n)}$, so that, by Lemma 5, $p \mid u_p^{(n)}$, giving $pn \mid u_p^{(n)} u_n = u_{np}$.

To prove (b), take $n \in S$, $n \neq 1, 6$ or 12 . If $3 \in S$ then $3/3 = 1 \in S$. Otherwise, by Theorem 3 and Proposition 11, we have $n/p \in S$ for some prime factor p of n . Thus we obtain a sequence $n, n/p, (n/p)/p', \dots$ of elements of S , which stops only at 1, 6 or 12. But clearly 6 and 12 cannot both be basic, so the process will stop at either 1 (always basic!) or at most one of 6 and 12. This shows that this sequence, written backwards, must be of the form $b, bp_1, bp_1 p_2, \dots, bp_1 \dots p_r$, say, as required. By (a), we know that p_i is in $\mathcal{P}_{S, bp_1 \dots p_{i-1}}$.

To prove (c), we just need to find for which P, Q the numbers 6 or 12 are basic.

The case $6 \in S, 3 \notin S, 2 \notin S$. Since $u_2 = P$, we know that $2 \in S$ iff P is even. Hence P is odd. Also

$$(4) \quad u_6 = u_3 v_3 = (P^2 - Q)(P^2 - 3Q)P.$$

As $6 \mid u_6$ and $3 \nmid u_3 = P^2 - Q$, we have $3 \mid P$, and so $Q \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{3}$. Also Q must be odd, so $P \equiv 3 \pmod{6}$ and $Q \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{6}$.

The case $12 \in S, 6 \notin S, 4 \notin S$. Since $2 \notin S$ by Corollary 2, we have P odd, as above. Now $u_{12} = u_6 v_6$ and

$$(5) \quad v_6 = v_3^{(2)} = (P^2 - 2Q)((P^2 - 2Q)^2 - 3Q^2).$$

If Q were even, then by (4) and (5) u_6 , v_6 , and u_{12} would all be odd. So Q is odd. As u_6 is then even, $3 \nmid u_6$, and we have $P \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{3}$ and $Q \equiv 0$ or $-1 \pmod{3}$. As $3 \mid u_{12}$, also $3 \mid v_6 \equiv (P^2 - 2Q)^3 \pmod{3}$, giving $Q \equiv -1 \pmod{3}$. Hence $P \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{6}$ and $Q \equiv -1 \pmod{6}$.

The converse for both of these cases is easily checked.

4. THE SET T

The results for the set $T = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : n \mid v_n\}$ differ slightly from those for S . Essentially, this is because of difficulties at the prime 2: v_n divides v_{np} for p odd, but not in general for $p = 2$. The main result is the following. For $n \in T$, define $\mathcal{P}_{T,n}$ to be the set of primes p such that $np \in T$. A prime is said to be *special* if it divides both P and Q . It is clear from applying the recurrence relation that all v_n for $n \geq 1$ are divisible by $\gcd(P, Q)$, and so by all special primes. We say that an element n of T is (*second kind*) *basic* if there is no prime p such that n/p is in T .

Theorem 13. (a) *For $n \in T$, the set $\mathcal{P}_{T,n}$ is the set of odd primes dividing v_n , with the possible inclusion of 2. Specifically, the prime 2 is in $\mathcal{P}_{T,n}$ if and only if n is a product of special primes and either*

- P is even;
- or
- Q is odd and $3 \mid n$.

(b) *Every element of T can be written in the form $bp_1 \dots p_r$ for some $r \geq 0$, where $b \in T$ is (*second kind*) basic and, for $i = 1, \dots, r$, the numbers $bp_1 \dots p_{i-1}$ are also in T , and p_i is in $\mathcal{P}_{bp_1 \dots p_{i-1}}$.*

(c) *The (*second kind*) basic elements of T are*

- 1 and 6 if $P \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{6}$, $Q \equiv -1 \pmod{6}$;
- 1 only, otherwise.

As in Theorem 1, the primes in part (b) of Theorem 13 need not be distinct. Note that part (a) of the theorem implies that, unless 2 is special, no element of T is divisible by 4. Again, Somer [20, Theorem 4] had many results concerning the set T . In particular, he already noted the importance of 6 for its structure.

We now compare $\mathcal{P}_{T,n}$ and $\mathcal{P}_{T,np}$, as we did $\mathcal{P}_{S,n}$ and $\mathcal{P}_{S,np}$. But, in this case, the prime 2 is, unsurprisingly, anomalous.

Corollary 14. (a) *For an odd prime p in $\mathcal{P}_{T,n}$, we have $p \in \mathcal{P}_{T,np}$;*
 (b) *For q an odd prime with $q \in \mathcal{P}_{T,n}$, we have $q \in \mathcal{P}_{T,2n}$ if and only if $q \mid Q$;*

(c) For $2 \in \mathcal{P}_{T,n}$, we have $2 \in \mathcal{P}_{T,2n}$ if and only if 2 is special.

Proof. Part (a) follows from the fact that for p odd $v_n \mid v_{np}$, combined with Theorem 13(a). For (b), we know from Theorem 13(a) that $q \mid v_n$. Then from $v_{2n} = v_n^2 - 2Q^n$ we see that $q \mid v_{2n}$ iff $q \mid Q$. For (c), we know from Theorem 13(a) that for $2 \in \mathcal{P}_{T,2n}$ all prime divisors of $2n$ are special, so 2 is special. Conversely, if 2 is special, then all prime factors of $2n$ are special, and P is even, so that, by Theorem 13(a), $2 \in \mathcal{P}_{T,2n}$. $\square$

Corollary 15. *If $n \in T$ and*

- *all odd prime factors of m divide v_n ;*
- and*
- *if m is even then every prime divisor of $2n$ is special;*

then $nm \in T$.

Proof. On successively multiplying n by first the odd and then the even prime divisors of m , we see from Theorem 13(a) that the stated conditions ensure that we stay within T while doing this. $\square$

This result extends Theorem 5(i) of Somer [20], which has the condition that ‘ m is a product of special primes or divides n ’ instead of ‘all odd prime factors of m divide v_n ’.

5. PRELIMINARY RESULTS FOR T .

We first quote the important result of Somer for T , corresponding to his result (Theorem 3 above) for S .

Theorem 16 (Somer [20, Theorem 5]). *Theorem 3 holds with the set S replaced by the set T .*

Jarden [11, Theorem E] proved this result for the classical Lucas sequence (i.e., $P = 1$, $Q = -1$) under the restriction $p_{\max} \neq 3$.

Lemma 17. *Suppose q is a special prime. Then $q^{e_n} \mid v_n$, where $e_n \geq \lfloor \log_q n \rfloor$.*

Proof. From the recurrence, it is easy to see that we can take

$$e_n = \begin{cases} \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 1 & \text{if } q = 2 \\ \lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor & \text{if } q \geq 3, \end{cases}$$

the slightly higher value for $q = 2$ coming from the fact that $v_0 = 2$. Then use $\lfloor \log_q n \rfloor \leq \lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor$. $\square$

We then immediately obtain the following.

Corollary 18 (Special case of Somer [20, Theorem 5(i)]). *If n is a product of special primes then it belongs to T .*

We can now extend Theorem 16 as follows.

Proposition 19. *If $\ell \geq 2$ and $2^\ell \cdot 3 \in T$, then $2^\ell \in T$.*

Proof. Put $L = 2^\ell$. If 2 is special, then, by Corollary 18, $L \in T$ for all $\ell \geq 1$. So we can assume that 2 is not special. We then know that Q must be odd, as if it were even then we would have $2 \mid v_{3L} \equiv P^{3L} \pmod{Q}$, so P would be even and 2 special.

From $L \mid v_{3L} = v_L(v_L^2 - 3Q^L)$ we see that if v_L were odd then, as L is even, Q^L is a square, and so $v_L^2 - 3Q^L \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, giving $2^1 \parallel v_{3L}$, a contradiction. Hence v_L is even, and $L \mid v_L$. $\square$

Next, we consider the set $\mathcal{P}_T$ of primes that divide some $n \in T$. To set our result in context, we first need the following standard result concerning the prime divisors of the set of all Lucas numbers of the second kind. This essentially dates back to Lucas ([14], [15], [13]). See Somer [20, Proposition 2(iv)].

Proposition 20. *The set of odd prime numbers that divide some v_n consists of the odd special primes, as well as all those odd nonspecial primes that do not divide Q and do not divide u_k for any odd k . Furthermore 2 divides some v_n with $n \geq 1$ if and only if Q is odd.*

Proof. First note that all special primes divide all Lucas numbers u_n for $n > 1$. Next, if p divides Q but not P , then $v_n \equiv P^n \pmod{p}$. So suppose p is a nonspecial prime that does not divide u_k for any k odd. Now, since it is known (see [16, p. 51]) that a prime p that does not divide Q divides some u_n , we must have n even, say $n = 2^r k$, with k odd. Then

$$p \mid u_n = u_k v_k v_{2k} v_{2^2 k} \dots v_{2^{r-1} k}$$

and since p does not divide u_k , it must divide some $v_{2^j k}$.

Conversely, suppose that the odd prime p divides some v_n . In the case $\gcd(P, Q) = 1$, we have by [16, equation (2.13)] that $\gcd(u_k, v_n) = 1$ or 2 for k odd. Hence p cannot divide any u_k with k odd. In the general case $\gcd(P, Q) > 1$ we apply the same result to the Lucas sequences (u_n^*) , (v_n^*) with parameters P^* and Q , where P^* is as in Lemma 9. Since these new sequences are congruent to the old ones mod p , we have for k odd that $u_k \equiv u_k^* \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$.

The result for the prime 2 comes from [16, p. 50]. $\square$

Denote by $\mathcal{P}_{2\text{nd}}$ the primes dividing some v_n , as described by the previous proposition.

Clearly $\mathcal{P}_T$ is a subset of $\mathcal{P}_{2\text{nd}}$. As for P_S in $\mathcal{P}_{1\text{st}}$, it would be interesting to prove that it is always a proper subset. Indeed, it again seems pretty clear why this should be the case. Take $p \in \mathcal{P}_{2\text{nd}}$, not dividing Q , with p having even rank of appearance $\omega(p)$ (in (u_n)). Then $p \mid v_n$ precisely when n is an odd multiple of $\omega(p)/2$ – see Somer [20, Proposition 2(vii)]. Thus if $\omega(p)$ has an odd prime divisor q that is not in $\mathcal{P}_{2\text{nd}}$, and $q \mid n$, then we cannot possibly have $n \mid v_n$. So it remains only to prove that there always are such primes. It seems clear, for instance

by looking at examples (like those in Section 9), that there will always be many of these primes, resulting in $\mathcal{P}_T$ being a thin subset of $\mathcal{P}_{2\text{nd}}$. But a proof of this is lacking at present.

Our next lemma is an easy exercise. Dickson [7, pp.67, 271] traces the result back to an ‘anonymous writer’ in 1830 [23], and also to Lucas [15, p. 229].

Lemma 21. *For p an odd prime and $j = 1, 2, \dots, (p-1)/2$, the expression $B_j := \binom{p-1}{j} - (-1)^j$ is divisible by p .*

The following result dates back to Lucas [15, p. 210] and Carmichael [5, Theorem X].

Lemma 22. (i) *For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and any prime p , p divides v_{np} if and only if p divides v_n .*
(ii) *For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and any odd prime p , v_n divides v_{np} and $v_{np}/v_n \equiv v_n^{p-1} \pmod{p}$.*

Proof. (i) Now $v_2 = v_1^2 - 2Q$, which is even iff v_1 is even. Also, for $p \geq 3$,

$$(6) \quad v_1^p = (\alpha + \beta)^p = v_p + \sum_{j=1}^{(p-1)/2} \binom{p}{j} Q^j v_{p-2j} \equiv v_p \pmod{p}.$$

Now replace α, β by α^n, β^n .

(ii) Taking p odd and B_j defined as in Lemma 21, we have

$$\begin{aligned} v_p &= (\alpha + \beta)(\alpha^{p-1} - \alpha^{p-2}\beta + \dots + \beta^{p-1}) \\ &= (\alpha + \beta)((\alpha + \beta)^{p-1} - \sum_{j=1}^{p-2} B_j \alpha^{p-1-j} \beta^j) \\ &= v_1 \left(v_1^{p-1} - \sum_{j=1}^{(p-3)/2} B_j Q^j v_{p-1-2j} - B_{(p-1)/2} Q^{(p-1)/2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

so that the result of p odd follows by replacing α, β by α^n, β^n and using Lemma 21. □

6. PROOF OF THEOREM 13

We now prove part (a) of Theorem 13. First take p odd and $n \in T$. Then, by Lemma 22(i), if $p \nmid v_n$ then $p \nmid v_{np}$, so $np \notin T$. Conversely, if $p^\lambda \parallel v_n$ for some $\lambda \geq 1$ then by Lemma 22(ii) $p^{\lambda+1} \mid v_{np}$. Since $n \mid v_n$ and $v_n \mid v_{np}$ we have $np \in T$.

Now take $p = 2$, and suppose that both n and $2n$ are in T . First note that v_n must be even, as otherwise $v_{2n} = v_n^2 - 2Q^n$ would be odd. Also, we have $n \mid Q^n$, so that every prime factor q of n divides Q . (Note that this works too if $q = 2$, as then $4 \mid v_{2n}$.) But q must also divide P , as

otherwise $v_n \equiv P^n \not\equiv 0 \pmod{q}$. Hence q is special, and n is a product of special primes. If n is even, then 2 is special, so P and Q are both even. Alternatively, because v_n is even, we must have either P even and Q odd or (from the recurrence) P and Q both odd and $3 \mid n$. So we have either P even or Q odd and $3 \mid n$.

Conversely, assume that $n \in T$ is a product of special primes, and either P is even or (Q is odd and $3 \mid n$). We know from Corollary 18 that every product of special primes is in T . So if 2 is special, then $2n \in T$. So we can assume 2 is not special, and hence that n is odd. If P is even, then, from the recurrence, all the v_k , in particular v_n and v_{2n} , are even. Also, if P and Q are both odd and $3 \mid n$, then v_n and $v_{2n} = v_n^2 - 2Q^n$ are both even. Since for every prime factor q of n with $q^\lambda \parallel n$ we have $\lambda \leq \log_q n < n$, so that $n \mid Q^n$. Hence $2n \mid v_{2n}$, $2n \in T$.

To prove part (b): we see easily from Theorem 16 and Proposition 19 that the only possible (second kind) basic numbers are 1 and 6. To find the conditions on P and Q that make 6 basic, we assume that $6 \in T$ but $2 \notin T$, $3 \notin T$. Then $v_2 = P^2 - 2Q$ is odd, so P odd. Also $3 \nmid v_3 = P(P^2 - 3Q)$, so $P \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{6}$. From $6 \mid v_6 = v_2(v_2^2 - 3Q^2)$ we have Q odd and $3 \mid v_2 \equiv 1 - 2Q \pmod{3}$, so that $Q \equiv -1 \pmod{6}$. Conversely, if $P \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{6}$ and $Q \equiv -1 \pmod{6}$ then it is easily checked that 6 is basic. This proves part (b).

The proof of part (c) is just the same as that for Theorem 1(c).

7. FINITENESS RESULTS FOR S AND FOR T .

In this section we look at when S , $\mathcal{P}_S$, and T , $\mathcal{P}_T$ are finite. The results given here are essentially reformulations of results of Somer [19], [20].

Theorem 23. *The set S is finite if and only if $\Delta = 1$, in which case $S = \{1\}$. For S infinite, $\mathcal{P}_S$ is finite when $Q = 0$ and $P \neq 0$, in which case $\mathcal{P}_S$ consists of the prime divisors of P . Otherwise, $\mathcal{P}_S$ is also infinite. Furthermore, $\mathcal{P}_S$ is the set $\mathcal{P}$ of all primes if and only if every prime divisor of Q is special. (This includes the case $Q = \pm 1$.)*

For the proof, we note first that when $\Delta = 1$, α and β are consecutive integers, and 1 is the only basic element. But there are no primes p dividing $u_1\Delta = 1$, so $\mathcal{P}_1$ is empty, and $S = \{1\}$. In all other cases, $|u_1\Delta| > 1$, $\mathcal{P}_{S,1}$ is nonempty, with $p \in \mathcal{P}_{S,1}$ say, and then, by Corollary 2, $p^k \in S$ for all $k \geq 0$, making S infinite.

Now assume S is infinite. We recall that $(u_n)_{n \geq 0}$ is called *degenerate* if $Q = 0$ or α/β is a root of unity. (The latter alternative includes the case $P = 0$, $Q \neq 0$.) We consider the two cases (u_n) degenerate or nondegenerate separately. If (u_n) is degenerate, then by [19, Theorem 9] either

- $P \neq 0$ and $Q = 0$, so that then S consists of those n whose prime factors all divide P , and $\mathcal{P}_S$ is the set of prime divisors of P ;
or
- for some $r = 1, 2, 3, 4$ or 6 , S has a subset rk ($k \in \mathbb{N}$) where $u_{rk} = 0$, so that $\mathcal{P}_S = \mathcal{P}$.

Now consider the case of (u_n) nondegenerate. Then, by Somer [19, Theorem 1], all but finitely many u_n have a primitive prime divisor (a prime dividing u_n that do not divide u_m for any $m < n$). So, using Theorem 1(a), $\mathcal{P}_S$ is infinite. Somer's theorem is based on results of Lekkerkerker [12] and Schinzel [17]. In fact Bilu, Hanrot and Voutier [4] have proved that for such sequences with no special primes every u_n with $n > 30$ has a primitive divisor. They also listed exceptions with $n \leq 30$. Hence u_{p^k} has a primitive prime divisor for all sufficiently large k , making $\mathcal{P}_S$ infinite. See Abouzaid [1] for corrections to their list. Also Stewart [21] and Shorey and Stewart [18] gave lower bounds for the largest prime divisor of u_n . We mention in passing a contrasting result of Everest, Stevens, Tamsett and Ward [8], who exhibited a cubic linear recurrence for which infinitely many of the resulting sequence had no primitive divisor.

This proof will be complete after we have proved the following. While this result is contained in Somer [19, Theorem 8], we give another proof here.

Proposition 24. *The set $\mathcal{P}_S$ is the whole of $\mathcal{P}$ if and only if all primes are regular.*

Proof. First note that if there are any irregular primes then, by Corollary 7, $\mathcal{P}_S$, being a subset of $\mathcal{P}_{1st}$, cannot be the whole of $\mathcal{P}$.

Conversely, assume all primes are regular, so that any prime factor p of Q also divide P . Note that then $p \mid \Delta$. To show that all primes belong to $\mathcal{P}_S$, we proceed by induction. We first show that $2 \in \mathcal{P}_S$. If $u_2 = P$ is even, then $2 \in S$, $2 \in \mathcal{P}_S$. So we can take P odd. Then Q must be odd, too, by our assumption. Then $u_3 = P^2 - Q$ is even, and hence so is $u_6 = u_3v_3$. We claim that either $3 \mid u_6$, in which case $6 \in S$, $2, 3 \in \mathcal{P}_S$, or $12 \in S$, with the same implication.

- If $P \equiv 3 \pmod{6}$, $Q \equiv 3 \pmod{6}$, then $3 \mid u_n$ for all $n \geq 2$, so that $3 \mid u_6$.
- If $P \equiv 3 \pmod{6}$, $Q \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{6}$, then 6 is basic, by Theorem 1(c).
- If $P \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{6}$, $Q \equiv -1 \pmod{6}$, then 12 is basic, by Theorem 1(c).
- If $P \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{6}$, $Q \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$, then $3 \mid u_3$ and so $3 \mid u_3v_3 = u_6$.

Hence $2 \in \mathcal{P}_S$, as claimed.

We now assume that $q \in \mathcal{P}_S$ for every prime $q < p$, where p is a prime at least 3. We have just shown that this is true for $p = 3$. By Lemma 8, we know that there is a positive integer k such that $k \prod_{q < p} q \in S$; hence, by Corollary 2, $k \prod_{q < p} q^{e_q} \in S$ for any exponents e_q .

By Lemma 6, $p \mid u_{p+\varepsilon}$, where $\varepsilon = \pm 1$. As $p > 2$, all factors of $p + \varepsilon$ are less than p so, by the induction hypothesis, $k(p + \varepsilon) \in S$ for some k . Now put $k' = k/p$ if $p \mid k$, and $k' = k$ otherwise. Then, using (1), we have

$$u_{pk'(p+\varepsilon)} = u_p^{(k'(p+\varepsilon))} u_{k'(p+\varepsilon)} = u_{pk'}^{(p+\varepsilon)} u_{p+\varepsilon},$$

so that $pk'(p + \varepsilon) \in S$, $p \in \mathcal{P}_S$. This proves the induction step. $\square$

On the other hand, if there are irregular primes, then in general $\mathcal{P}_S$ will be a proper subset of $\mathcal{P}_{1st}$. For an idea of why this should be the case, take an irregular prime f , and suppose that p is a prime whose rank of appearance $\omega(p)$ is a multiple of f . Then if $n = kp$ were in S , we would have $u_{kp} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, so that $\omega(p)$, and hence f , divides kp . Hence f divides u_n , a contradiction. Thus we have shown that no prime whose rank of appearance is a multiple of f will belong to $\mathcal{P}_S$. The problem of showing that there are *any* of these primes, let alone infinitely many, seems a difficult one, though computationally they are easy to find for a particular P and Q .

We now consider the finiteness (or otherwise) of T and $\mathcal{P}_T$.

Theorem 25 (Somer [20, Theorems 8,9]). *The set T is finite in the following two cases:*

- $P = \pm 1$, $Q \not\equiv -1 \pmod{6}$, in which case $T = \{1\}$;
- $P = \varepsilon_1 2^k$, $Q = 2^{2k-1} + \varepsilon_2$, where k is a positive integer, and $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 \in \{-1, 1\}$, in which case $T = \{1, 2\}$.

Otherwise, T is infinite. For T infinite, $\mathcal{P}_T$ is finite precisely when P, Q are not both 0 and either

- $P^2 = Q$, in which case $\mathcal{P}_T$ is the set of prime divisors of $2P$
- or*
- $P^2 = 4Q$ or $Q = 0$, in which case $\mathcal{P}_T$ is the set of prime divisors of P .

Otherwise, for T infinite, $\mathcal{P}_T$ is also infinite.

Proof. If T contains an integer n having an odd prime factor p then, by Theorem 13(a), $p^k n \in T$ for all $k \geq 0$. In particular, if $P = \pm 1$ and $Q \equiv -1 \pmod{6}$, then $6 \in T$, so that T is infinite. On the other hand, if $P = \pm 1$ and $Q \not\equiv -1 \pmod{6}$, then 1 is the only basic element of T , and $v_1 = P$ has no prime factors so that, by Theorem 13(a), $\mathcal{P}_1$ is empty, and hence $T = \{1\}$.

Again starting with $1 \in T$, we see that T is infinite if P has any odd prime factors. Also, T is infinite if P is $\pm$ a positive power of 2 and 2 is special, as then $2^k \in T$ for all $k \geq 0$, by Theorem 13(a).

It therefore remains only to consider the case of $P = \pm 2^k$, $k \geq 1$ and Q odd, so that 2 is not special. Then $2 \in T$ and $4 \notin T$, by Theorem 13(a). If v_2 has an odd prime factor p , then $2p^k \in T$ for all $k \geq 0$, so that T is again infinite. Finally, if v_2 is $\pm$ a power of 2, then $T = \{1, 2\}$. This happens only when $v_2 = 2^{2k} - 2Q = \pm 2$, so that $Q = 2^{2k-1} \mp 1$, as claimed.

Now take T infinite, with P, Q not both 0. If the sequence (v_n) is degenerate, then, using Somer [20, Theorem 9], we get either $P^2 = Q$, $P^2 = 4Q$ or $Q = 0$, and $\mathcal{P}_T$ being the set of prime divisors of P , as required. On the other hand, if (v_n) is not degenerate then by Somer [20, Theorem 1] for sufficiently large n every v_n has a primitive prime divisor. Hence we can find an infinite sequence of numbers n in T such that np is again in T , where p is a primitive prime divisor of v_n . (Here we are using Theorem 13(a).) Thus $\mathcal{P}_T$ then contains infinitely many primes p . $\square$

8. DIVISIBILITY PROPERTIES OF S AND OF T .

From Theorem 1 we can consider S as spanned by a forest of one or two trees, with each node corresponding to an element of S , and the root nodes being $\{1\}$, $\{1, 6\}$ or $\{1, 12\}$. Each edge can be labelled p ; it rises from a node $n \in S$ to a node $np \in S$, where p is some prime divisor of $u_n \Delta$. Thus every node above n in the tree is divisible by n . Then call a *cutset* of the forest a set C of nodes with the property that every path from a root to infinity must contain some vertex of the cutset. Then we clearly have the following.

Proposition 26. *For a cutset C of S , every element of S either lies below C , or it is divisible by some node of C .*

Judicious choice of a cutset places severe divisibility restrictions on elements of S , and so, using this, one can search for elements of S up to an given bound very efficiently.

The same argument applies equally to T , using Theorem 13, with p being either an odd prime divisor of v_n or, under the conditions described in that theorem, the prime 2. For instance, applying this idea to the sequence T of example 2 below, every element of that sequence not a power of 3 is divisible either by 171 or 243 or 13203 or 2354697 or 10970073 or 22032887841. See [3] for details.

9. EXAMPLES

1. $P = 1, Q = -1$ (the classical Fibonacci and Lucas numbers.)
Here $\Delta = 5$,

$$S = 1, 5, 12, 24, 25, 36, 48, 60, 72, 96, 108, 120, 125, 144, 168, 180, \dots,$$

with 1 and 12 basic (A023172 on Neil Sloane's Integer Sequence website), while $\mathcal{P}_S$ is the whole of $\mathcal{P}$ (see Theorem 23),

$$T = 1, 6, 18, 54, 162, 486, 1458, 1926, 4374, 5778, 13122, 17334, \dots,$$

with 1 and 6 basic (A016089), and

$$\mathcal{P}_{2\text{nd}} = 2, 3, 7, 11, 19, 23, 29, 31, 41, 43, 47, 59, 67, 71, 79, 83, 101, 103, 107, 127, \dots,$$

(A140409) of which $\mathcal{P}_T$ is a subsequence:

$$\mathcal{P}_T = 2, 3, 107, 1283, 8747, 21401, 34667, 46187, \dots,$$

(A016089, see (see Theorem 25).

2. $P = 3, Q = 2$, where $u_n = 2^n - 1$, $v_n = 2^n + 1$. Here $S = \{1\}$ as $\Delta = 1$, and

$$T = 1, 3, 9, 27, 81, 171, 243, 513, 729, 1539, 2187, 3249, \dots,$$

with 1 basic (A006521). Also

$$\mathcal{P}_{2\text{nd}} = 3, 5, 11, 13, 17, 19, 29, 37, 41, 43, 53, 59, 61, 67, 83, 97, 101, 107, 109, \dots,$$

(A014662 – see also A091317), of which

$$\mathcal{P}_T = 3, 19, 163, 571, 1459, 8803, 9137, 17497, 41113, \dots$$

(A057719) is a subsequence. Note too that, by Proposition 12 and the fact that all $n \in T$ are odd, we have $T = S(-1, -2)$.

Also $S = T(-1, -2) = \{1\}$.

3. $P = 3, Q = 5, \Delta = -11$,

$$S = 1, 6, 11, 12, 18, 24, 36, 48, 54, 66, 72, 96, 108, 121, 132, 144, 162, 168, 192, 198, \dots$$

with 1 and 6 basic, with $\mathcal{P}_{1\text{st}}$ consisting of all primes except the irregular prime 5, and

$$\mathcal{P}_S = 2, 3, 7, 11, 13, 17, 23, 37, 41, 43, 67, 71, 73, 83, 89, 97, 101, 103, 107, 113, \dots$$

Also

$$T = 1, 3, 9, 27, 81, 153, 243, 459, 729, 1377, 2187, 2601, 4131, 4401, 6561, 7803, \dots$$

with only 1 basic,

$$\mathcal{P}_{2\text{nd}} = 2, 3, 7, 13, 17, 19, 23, 37, 43, 47, 53, 67, 73, 79, 83, 97, 103, 107, 113, \dots$$

and

$$\mathcal{P}_T = 2, 3, 17, 103, 163, 373, 487, 1733, \dots$$

10. FINAL REMARKS.

1. It would be interesting to see whether the analysis of the paper could be extended to other second-order recurrence sequences, or indeed to any recurrences of higher order.
2. In [3], what we called ‘primitive’ solutions of $n \mid 2^n + 1$ should in fact have been called *fundamental* solutions, following Jarden [11, p. 70] and Somer [19, p. 522], [20, p. 482]. However, this definition has been superseded by the notion of a basic element (of S or of T) as in this paper.
3. In example 1 of Section 9 above we have 24 and $25 \in S = S(1, -1)$. Are these the only consecutive integers in $S(1, -1)$?

REFERENCES

- [1] Mourad Abouzaid. Les nombres de Lucas et Lehmer sans diviseur primitif. *J. Théor. Nombres Bordeaux*, 18(2):299–313, 2006.
- [2] Richard André-Jeannin. Divisibility of generalized Fibonacci and Lucas numbers by their subscripts. *Fibonacci Quart.*, 29(4):364–366, 1991.
- [3] Toby Bailey and Chris Smyth. Primitive solutions of $n \mid 2^n + 1$. 2pp., linked from <http://www.research.att.com/~njas/sequences/A006521>, 2008.
- [4] Yu. Bilu, G. Hanrot, and P. M. Voutier. Existence of primitive divisors of Lucas and Lehmer numbers. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 539:75–122, 2001. With an appendix by M. Mignotte.
- [5] R. D. Carmichael. Note On A Recent Problem In The American Mathematical Monthly. *Amer. Math. Monthly*, 14(1):8–9, 1907.
- [6] R. D. Carmichael. On the numerical factors of the arithmetic forms $\alpha^n \pm \beta^n$. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 15(1-4):30–70, 1913/14.
- [7] Leonard Eugene Dickson. *History of the theory of numbers. Vol. I: Divisibility and primality*. Chelsea Publishing Co., New York, 1966.
- [8] Graham Everest, Shaun Stevens, Duncan Tamsett, and Tom Ward. Primes generated by recurrence sequences. *Amer. Math. Monthly*, 114(5):417–431, 2007.
- [9] Graham Everest, Alf van der Poorten, Igor Shparlinski, and Thomas Ward. *Recurrence sequences*, volume 104 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2003.
- [10] Verner E. Hoggatt, Jr. and Gerald E. Bergum. Divisibility and congruence relations. *Fibonacci Quart.*, 12:189–195, 1974.
- [11] Dov Jarden. Divisibility of Fibonacci and Lucas numbers by their subscripts. In *Recurring sequences: A collection of papers*, Second edition. Revised and enlarged, pages 68–75. Riveon Lematematika, Jerusalem (Israel), 1966.
- [12] C. G. Lekkerkerker. Prime factors of the elements of certain sequences of integers. I, II. *Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. Proc. Ser. A*. **56** = *Indagationes Math.*, 15:265–276, 277–280, 1953.
- [13] Edouard Lucas. Théorie des Fonctions Numériques Simplement Périodiques. *Amer. J. Math.*, 1(4):289–321, 1878.
- [14] Edouard Lucas. Théorie des Fonctions Numériques Simplement Périodiques. *Amer. J. Math.*, 1(2):184–196, 1878.
- [15] Edouard Lucas. Théorie des Fonctions Numériques Simplement Périodiques. *Amer. J. Math.*, 1(3):197–240, 1878.

- [16] Paulo Ribenboim. The Fibonacci numbers and the Arctic Ocean. In *Proceedings of the 2nd Gauss Symposium. Conference A: Mathematics and Theoretical Physics (Munich, 1993)*, Sympos. Gaussiana, pages 41–83, Berlin, 1995. de Gruyter.
- [17] Andrzej Schinzel. The intrinsic divisors of Lehmer numbers in the case of negative discriminant. *Ark. Mat.*, 4:413–416 (1962), 1962.
- [18] T. N. Shorey and C. L. Stewart. On divisors of Fermat, Fibonacci, Lucas and Lehmer numbers. II. *J. London Math. Soc. (2)*, 23(1):17–23, 1981.
- [19] Lawrence Somer. Divisibility of terms in Lucas sequences by their subscripts. In *Applications of Fibonacci numbers, Vol. 5 (St. Andrews, 1992)*, pages 515–525. Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 1993.
- [20] Lawrence Somer. Divisibility of terms in Lucas sequences of the second kind by their subscripts. In *Applications of Fibonacci numbers, Vol. 6 (Pullman, WA, 1994)*, pages 473–486. Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 1996.
- [21] C. L. Stewart. On divisors of Fermat, Fibonacci, Lucas, and Lehmer numbers. *Proc. London Math. Soc. (3)*, 35(3):425–447, 1977.
- [22] Gary Walsh. On integers n with the property $n \mid f_n$. 5pp., unpublished, 1986.
- [23] Anonymous Writer. Théorèmes et problèmes sur les nombres. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 6:100–106, 1830.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND MAXWELL INSTITUTE FOR MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF EDINBURGH, JAMES CLERK MAXWELL BUILDING, KING'S BUILDINGS, MAYFIELD ROAD, EDINBURGH EH9 3JZ, UK.